The Authors may use this self-assessment form before submitting their full papers.

A	ASSESSMENT THE QUALITY OF THE IDENTITY OF THIS PAPER	Yes/No
1	Does the title interesting, short, concise (20 words max.) and does it represent the content of the paper?	
2	Have all the authors' name been written according to the instructions?	
3	Have the corresponding author's name been written along with the affiliated email addresses? (author@institution.ac.id)	
4	Has the affiliated names been written in complete?	
5	Has this paper been written in ~~5 or 7 pages~~ minimum of 5.000 words ?	
B	**ASSESSMENT THE QUALITY OF REFERENCES**	**Yes/No**
1	Are the references written on the paper listed in the Reference Section, and vice versa- the references in the Reference Section is included in the body of the paper?	
2	Are there a *minimum* of 10 primary references that were published no more than ~~10 years~~ 5 years ago?	
3	Are there a *minimum* of 10 primary references that came from reputable journals and have DOI?	
4	Do the other references NOT come from blogs and other sources that cannot be held scientifically accountable?	
5	Do the other references come from books, thesis, dissertations, and relevant links?	
C	**ASSESSMENT THE QUALITY OF ABSTRACT**	**Yes/No**
1	Have I followed the instructions the Abstract Template? (For example: the word count in the abstract reach a maximum of 250 words).	
2	Has the goal of the paper been explained clearly? (Including the research problem, the gaps or novelty)	
3	Has the research methodology been explained clearly and concisely?	
4	Has the outcome of the research been explained clearly?	
5	Has the conclusion of the research been explained clearly? (Note: outcome of the research is not the same as the conclusion)	

D	ASSESSMENT THE QUALITY OF INTRODUCTION	Yes/No
1	Is my research question (background/problem) clear?	
2	Does the Introduction act as a clear road map for understanding my paper?	
3	Is it sufficiently different from the Abstract, without any cut and pastes? (some overlap is fine)	
4	Have the introduction been as concise as possible?	
E	**ASSESSMENT THE QUALITY OF METHODS SECTIONS?**	**Yes/No**
1	Have I really described my Methods in a way that is easy for readers to follow and which would enable them to replicate my work?	
2	Have I used references to previous works rather than repeating descriptions that readers could easily find elsewhere?	
3	Have I thought about the way readers prefer to receive information? (no ambiguity, no back referencing, everything in chronological order)?	
F	**ASSESSMENT THE QUALITY OF RESULT**	**Yes/No**
1	Have I expressed myself as clearly as possible, so that the contribution that my results give stands out for the referees and readers?	
2	Have I limited myself to only reporting the key result or trends that each figure and table conveys, rather than reiterating each value?	
3	Have I chosen the best format to present the data (e.g. figure or table)? Have I ensured that this is no redundancy between the various figures and tables?	
4	Are the tables drawn without vertical lines and in line with the template?	
G	**ASSESSMENT THE QUALITY OF DISSCUSSION**	**Yes/No**
1	Have I summarized the major gap in my paper, and highlighted the importance of filling such gap?	
2	Have I highlighted the most important result of my paper?	
3	Have I explained how the result(s) fit with recent existing literature?	
4	Have I included a discussion on additional major or minor findings, and the limitation of the study at the end of my paper?	
5	Have I indicated the major follow up studies based on the results, and recommendation for following-up studies?	

H	ASSESSMENT THE QUALITY OF CONCLUSION	Yes/No
1	Have I written really a Conclusions section? (If it is more than 200–250 words, then it probably isn't – it needs to be much shorter)	
2	Have I avoided cut and pastes from earlier sections? Do my Conclusions differ appropriately from my Abstract, Introduction and final paragraph of my Discussion?	
3	Have I given my Conclusions as much impact as possible and have I avoided any redundant expressions?	
4	Have I avoided any unqualified statements and conclusions that are not completely supported in result and discussion section?	
5	Are the possible applications I have suggested really feasible? Are my recommendations appropriate?	

***